



KURZBAN KURZBAN
TETZELI & PRATT
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

IRA KURZBAN - FOUNDER
JED KURZBAN - PARTNER
HELENA TETZELI - PARTNER
JOHN PATRICK PRATT - PARTNER
EDWARD RAMOS - PARTNER
KEVIN A. GREGG - PARTNER

131 MADEIRA AVENUE
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA, 33134
TELEPHONE (305) 444-0060 TELECOPIER
(305) 444-3503
WWW.KKTPLAW.COM

To Whom It May Concern,

Please note that this law firm represents Canal A. Media Holdin LLC ("Canal Media") in a multinational executive L-1A visa petition. Pursuant to our representation of Canal Media our firm recently won a major legal victory that created new precedent decision to review employment visa denials.

More specifically, in **Canal A Media Holding LLC v. United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, No. 19-11193 (11th Cir. 2020)** the district court's dismissed their amended complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, that challenged the USCIS's decision to deny Canal A Media's petition for a work visa for Mr. Archila. The Eleventh Circuit reversed and held that the denial of Canal A Media's visa petition was final agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), because Canal A Media has gone as far as it can in obtaining administrative adjudication of the I-129 petition and neither plaintiff can displace that decision through Mr. Archila's removal proceedings. Therefore, the district court erred in dismissing the complaint for failure to satisfy the APA finality requirement. Accordingly, the court remanded for further proceedings and the case continues to challenge the unlawful and erroneous visa denial. We are committed to prove in the remanded proceedings that the work visa was unlawfully and incorrectly denied.

The current decision is important and telling based on judge Newson's concurring opinion that indicated as follows: "At 30,000 feet, then, the government's position just can't be right. USCIS's rejection of Canal A Media's I-129 petition is not non-"final" simply because a *different* agency that is housed in a *different* executive-branch department and is vested with jurisdiction over *different* issues and is presiding over a *different* proceeding involving a *different* party hasn't finished its *different* business."

Sincerely,


John P. Pratt, Esq.